

Analysis of Antidepressant Use in Republic of Serbia from 2013 to 2015

Boris Ž. Milijašević¹, Andreja L. Vljankov¹, Milan B. Ubavić², Aleksandar L. Rašković¹, Nikola B. Martić¹, Zdenko S. Tomić¹

¹ Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Clinical Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia

² Institute for Laboratory Diagnostics, Medlab, Novi Sad, Serbia

SUMMARY

Introduction: Depression is a chronic mental disorder that causes changes in mood, thoughts, behavior and physical health. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) 350 million people worldwide are said to suffer from this mental disorder. This explains why antidepressants are widely used.

Aim: The aim of this study was to analyze the use of antidepressants in Serbia, Norway and Finland from 2013 to 2015.

Methods: The data about the use of antidepressants in Serbia, Norway and Finland in 2013, 2014 and 2015 was taken from the Agency for Drugs and Medical Devices of the Republic of Serbia, the sites of Norwegian Institute of Public Health, and Finnish Agency for Drugs Fimea.

Results: Large number of depressed patients and smaller number of antidepressants used in Serbia compared to Finland and Norway in 2013, 2014 and 2015 can be explained by a different socioeconomic status and different health system in those three countries. Patients in Serbia are underdiagnosed and undertreated due to a failure of the primary care physicians to identify depressed patients, so that those can be treated by a psychiatrist at the secondary health care level. Sertraline is the first-choice medication in Serbia compared to escitalopram in Norway and Finland. Escitalopram has the highest probability of remission of the investigated antidepressants and is the most effective and cost-effective pharmacological treatment strategy for depression in a primary care setting.

Conclusion: The consumption of antidepressants in Serbia increased in 2015 compared to 2013, but was still significantly less in Serbia in 2013, 2014 and 2015 compared to Finland and Norway, pharmacotherapeutically developed countries. Medications consumed the most in all 3 countries in 2013, 2014 and 2015 were selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. Sertraline was the most widely used antidepressant in Serbia in 2015, while escitalopram was mostly used antidepressant in Norway and Finland.

Keywords: depression, antidepressants, pharmacoepidemiology

Corresponding author:

Assistant Professor Boris Ž. Milijašević, MD, PhD

Specialist in Clinical Pharmacology

Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical Faculty of Novi Sad, Hajduk Veljkova 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

E-mail: boris.milijasevic@mf.uns.ac.rs

INTRODUCTION

Depression is a chronic mental disorder that causes changes in mood, thoughts, behavior and physical health. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) 350 million people worldwide are said to suffer from this mental disorder. The lifetime prevalence for major depression is reported to be as high as 14-17% and the one-year prevalence is 4-8%. The lifetime prevalence rates of major depressive disorders among women are 10-25%, and for men 5-12%. Depression is one of the leading causes of disability- adjusted life year (DALY) [1,2].

The most common forms of depression are the following:

Persistent depressive disorder (also called dysthymia) is a depressed mood that lasts for at least two years. A person diagnosed with persistent depressive disorder may have episodes of major depression along with periods of less severe symptoms, but symptoms must last for two years to be considered persistent depressive disorder.

Postpartum depression - women with postpartum depression experience full-blown major depression during pregnancy or after delivery (postpartum depression). The feelings of extreme sadness, anxiety, and exhaustion that accompany postpartum depression may make it difficult for these new mothers to complete daily care activities for themselves and/or for their babies.

Psychotic depression occurs when a person has severe depression plus some form of psychosis, such as having disturbing false fixed beliefs (delusions) or hearing or seeing upsetting things that others cannot hear or see (hallucinations). The psychotic symptoms typically have a depressive "theme," such as delusions of guilt.

Seasonal affective disorder is characterized by the onset of depression during the winter months, when there is less natural sunlight. This depression generally lifts during spring and summer. Winter depression, typically accompanied by social withdrawal, increased sleep, and weight gain, predictably returns every year in seasonal affective disorder.

Bipolar disorder is different from depression, but it is included in this list because someone with bipolar disorder experiences episodes of extremely low moods that

meet the criteria for major depression (called "bipolar depression"). But a person with bipolar disorder also experiences extreme high - euphoric or irritable - moods called "mania" or a less severe form called "hypomania" [1].

Depression can only be diagnosed if the following symptoms are present most of the day, almost every day for at least 2 weeks: loss of interest or pleasure in hobbies and activities and persistent sad, anxious, or "empty" mood. These symptoms have to be accompanied by at least 4 of the following symptoms: feelings of hopelessness, or pessimism, irritability, feelings of guilt, worthlessness, or helplessness, decreased energy or fatigue, moving or talking slowly, feeling restless or having trouble sitting still, difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions, difficulty sleeping, early-morning awakening, or oversleeping, appetite and/or weight changes, thoughts of death or suicide, or suicide attempts, aches or pains, headaches, cramps, or digestive problems without a clear physical cause and/or that do not ease even with treatment [1,2].

Current research suggests that depression is caused by a combination of genetic, biological, environmental, and psychological factors. Depression can happen at any age, but often begins in adulthood [1].

Depression, especially in midlife or older adults, can co-occur with other serious medical illnesses, such as diabetes, cancer, heart disease, and Parkinson's disease. But, in younger people, depression is often associated with rheumatoid arthritis and other chronic inflammatory disorders [3]. These conditions are often worse when depression is present. Sometimes medications taken for these physical illnesses may cause side effects that contribute to depression [1].

Risk factors include: personal or family history of depression, major life changes, trauma, or stress and certain physical illnesses and medications [1].

Depression is usually treated with medications, psychotherapy, or a combination of the two. If these treatments do not reduce symptoms, electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) and other brain stimulation therapies may be options to explore [1].

Medications that modulate monoaminergic neurotransmitter function by one mechanism or another can possess antidepressant efficacy, as demonstrated in multiple randomized, double-blind, controlled trials. Such

medications include the tricyclic/tetracyclic antidepressants (TCAs), monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs), selective serotonin (5-HT) reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), 5-HT and norepinephrine (NE) dual-reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs), and several atypical antidepressants (e.g., nefazodone, bupropion, and mirtazapine). Mechanisms of action for these medications primarily include the following:

- 1) inhibiting reuptake of NE and/or 5-HT into the presynaptic terminal from the synapse (TCAs, SSRIs, and SNRIs);
- 2) inhibiting monoamine oxidase, the enzyme that degrades 5-HT, NE, and dopamine (DA) in the presynaptic terminal (MAOIs);
- 3) blocking or stimulating presynaptic and/or postsynaptic monoamine neurotransmitter receptors (mirtazapine, nefazodone, trazodone, and several atypical antipsychotics) [4].

The most popular types of antidepressants are called selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). Accumulation of data on serotonergic organization and function of the Central Nervous System in healthy and morbid conditions has largely improved the understanding of etiopathogenesis of the affective and cognitive disorders. Concurrently, therapeutic approach has become more selective, specific and efficient, yet not more causal. [5]. Examples of SSRIs include: fluoxetine, citalopram, sertraline, paroxetine, escitalopram. Other types of antidepressants are serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors (SNRIs). SNRIs are similar to SSRIs and include venlafaxine and duloxetine [1].

Another antidepressant that is commonly used is bupropion. Bupropion is a third type of antidepressant which works differently than either SSRIs or SNRIs. Bupropion is also used to treat seasonal affective disorder and to help people stop smoking [1].

SSRIs, SNRIs, and bupropion are popular because they do not cause as many side effects as older classes of antidepressants, and seem to help a broader group of depressive and anxiety disorders. Older antidepressant medications include tricyclics, tetracyclics, and monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs). For some people, tricyclics, tetracyclics, or MAOIs may be the best medications [1].

AIM

The aim of this study was to analyze the use of antidepressants from pharmacotherapeutic

point of view in Serbia, Norway and Finland from 2013 to 2015 as well as to compare results of antidepressant use from Serbia with results of antidepressant use in Norway and Finland, countries with developed pharmacotherapeutic practice.

METHODS

The data about the use of antidepressants in Serbia in 2013, 2014 and 2015 was taken from the Agency for Drugs and Medical Devices of the Republic of Serbia [6-8]. The data on use of antidepressants in Norway and Finland was taken from the official site of the Norwegian Institute of Public Health and the official site of the Finish Agency for Drugs Fimea, respectively [9,10]. Analysis of the use of drugs was done from the point of social perspective and there was no influence of any factors or any interest groups.

Internationally recognized and widely accepted methodology in the study of drug use is based on the concept of anatomical-therapeutic-chemical classification of drugs (ATC) and defined daily doses. According to the ATC classification the drugs that we use in treatment of nervous system belong to the N group, whereas psychoanaleptics are belonging to a group of N06. Antidepressants are further analyzed in N06A group.

In order to facilitate comparison of the intensity of use of certain drugs in time and in different geographical areas scientist created a separate statistical unit of measurement of drug use, labeled as defined daily doses (DDD). The unit is independent of price, size, packaging, protected names of individual manufacturers and even from the pharmaceutical dosage form. The concept of DDD offered as a statistical unit of use the agreed amount of drug that is commonly used for the most common indication. DDD is defined, whenever it's possible, in weight units (or units of activity). The amount of the drug used is expressed in the number of defined daily doses (DDD) per 1000 inhabitants per day (DDD/1000 inhabitants/day) [11].

RESULTS

Consumption of antidepressants (N06A) in Serbia in 2013 was 18.34 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day, while in 2015 it was 26.13 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day; there was an increase of anti-

Table 1. Comparative review of antidepressant use (N06A) in Serbia from 2013 to 2015 expressed in DDD/inhabitants/day and percentage

DDD - DDD/1000 inhabitants/day

Country		Serbia					
Year		2013		2014		2015	
ATC group	INN	DDD	%	DDD	%	DDD	%
N06A	antidepressants	18.34	100.00	14.39	100.00	26.13	100.00
N06AA	non-selective monomamine reuptake inhibitors	1.51	8.23	1.39	9.66	1.54	5.89
N06AA04	clomipramine	0.39	2.13	0.36	2.50	0.35	1.34
N06AA06	trimipramine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AA09	amitriptyline	0.33	1.80	0.29	2.02	0.33	1.26
N06AA10	nortriptyline	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AA12	doxepin	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AA21	maprotiline	0.79	4.31	0.74	5.14	0.86	3.29
N06AB	selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	14.2	77.43	9.94	69.08	20.71	79.26
N06AB03	fluoxetine	2.12	11.56	0.51	3.54	2.89	11.06
N06AB04	citalopram	0.75	4.09	0.81	5.63	1.15	4.40
N06AB05	paroxetine	3.04	16.58	3.31	23.00	4.08	15.61
N06AB06	sertraline	6.34	34.57	2.01	13.97	8.20	31.38
N06AB08	fluvoxamine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AB10	escitalopram	1.95	10.63	3.31	23.00	4.39	16.80
N06AG	monoaminoxidase inhibitors A (MAO-A)	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.04
N06AG02	moclobemide	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.04
N06AX	other antidepressants	2.62	14.29	3.04	21.13	3.86	14.77
N06AX03	mianserin	0.36	1.96	0.29	2.02	0.36	1.38
N06AX05	trazodone	0.6	3.27	0.52	3.61	0.77	2.95
N06AX11	mirtazapine	0.84	4.58	1.36	9.45	1.84	7.04
N06AX12	bupropion	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.21	0.03	0.11
N06AX14	tianeptine	0.04	0.22	0.03	0.21	0.03	0.11
N06AX16	venlafaxine	0.75	4.09	0.83	5.77	0.82	3.14
N06AX17	milnacipran	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX18	reboxetine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX21	duloxetine	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N06AX22	agomelatine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX26	vortioxetine	-	-	-	-	-	-

depressant use in in Serbia in 2015 compared to 2013.

The most widely used antidepressant group in Serbia in 2013, 2014 and 2015 was the group of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (N06AB). In 2013, the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors was 14.2 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 77.43% of all antidepressant use and in 2015 it was 20.71 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 79.26%. Increase in use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in Serbia in 2015 compared to 2013 is evident.

The most widely used antidepressant in Serbia in 2013 was sertraline (N06AB06) with 6.34 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 34.57% of all antidepressant use and in 2015 it was 8.20 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 31.38%. The use of sertraline increased in 2015 compared to 2013.

The use of antidepressants (N06A) in 2013 in Norway was 56.64 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day and it decreased in 2015 to 56.48 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day.

In Norway and Serbia, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (N06AB) were most

Country		Norway					
Year		2013		2014		2015	
ATC group	INN	DDD	%	DDD	%	DDD	%
N06A	antidepressants	56.64	100.00	55.88	100.00	56.48	100.00
N06AA	non-selective monomamine reuptake inhibitors	3.48	6.14	3.47	6.21	3.47	6.14
N06AA04	clomipramine	0.25	0.44	0.23	0.41	0.23	0.41
N06AA06	trimipramine	0.34	0.60	0.31	0.55	0.29	0.51
N06AA09	amitriptyline	2.58	4.56	2.63	4.71	2.68	4.75
N06AA10	nortriptyline	0.13	0.23	0.14	0.25	0.14	0.25
N06AA12	doxepin	0.17	0.30	0.15	0.27	0.13	0.23
N06AA21	maprotiline	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AB	selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	37.47	66.15	36.59	65.48	36.94	65.40
N06AB03	fluoxetine	2.14	3.78	2.17	3.88	2.25	3.98
N06AB04	citalopram	4.75	8.39	4.31	7.71	4.06	7.19
N06AB05	paroxetine	2.94	5.19	2.80	5.01	2.66	4.71
N06AB06	sertraline	7.27	12.84	6.92	12.38	7.44	13.17
N06AB08	fluvoxamine	0.10	0.18	0.10	0.18	0.10	0.18
N06AB10	escitalopram	20.27	35.79	20.28	36.29	20.44	36.19
N06AG	monoaminoxidase inhibitors A (MAO-A)	0.15	0.26	0.14	0.25	0.13	0.23
N06AG02	moclobemide	0.15	0.26	0.14	0.25	0.13	0.23
N06AX	other antidepressants	15.55	27.45	15.68	28.06	15.93	28.20
N06AX03	mianserin	1.97	3.48	1.83	3.27	1.73	3.06
N06AX05	trazodone	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX11	mirtazapine	5.17	9.13	5.38	9.63	5.28	9.35
N06AX12	bupropion	0.98	1.73	1.03	1.84	1.08	1.91
N06AX14	tianeptine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX16	venlafaxine	6.95	12.27	6.91	12.37	7.09	12.55
N06AX17	milnacipran	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX18	reboxetine	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.05
N06AX21	duloxetine	0.45	0.79	0.49	0.88	0.57	1.01
N06AX22	agomelatine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX26	vortioxetine	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.25

Table 2. Comparative review of antidepressant use (N06A) in Norway from 2013 to 2015 expressed in DDD/inhabitants/day and percentage

DDD - DDD/1000 inhabitants/day

widely used group of antidepressants. Their use in 2013 was 37.47 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 66.15% of all antidepressant use and it decreased in 2015 to 36.94 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 65.40%

The most widely used antidepressant in Norway in 2013 was escitalopram (N06AB10) with 20.27 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 35.79% of all antidepressant use, which corresponds to the results in 2015 (20.44 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 36.19%) where the use of escitalopram was the highest as well. Escitalopram belongs to the group of selective

serotonin reuptake inhibitors (N06AB). Escitalopram use increased in 2015 compared to 2013.

The use of antidepressants (N06A) in Finland in 2013 was 63.66 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day and it increased to 68.16 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day in 2015.

The most widely used antidepressant group in Finland was selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors group which corresponds to the results from Norway and Serbia. The use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors in 2013 was 39.64 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or

Table 3. Comparative review of antidepressant use (N06A) in Finland from 2013 to 2015 expressed in DDD/inhabitants/day and percentage

DDD - DDD/1000 inhabitants/day

Country		Finland					
Year		2013		2014		2015	
ATC group	INN	DDD	%	DDD	%	DDD	%
N06A	antidepressants	63.66	100.00	65.32	100.00	68.16	100.00
N06AA	non-selective monomamine reuptake inhibitors	3.76	5.91	3.94	6.03	4.22	6.19
N06AA04	clomipramine	0.21	0.33	0.20	0.31	0.21	0.31
N06AA06	trimipramine	0.12	0.19	0.12	0.18	0.11	0.16
N06AA09	amitriptyline	2.59	4.07	2.70	4.13	2.95	4.33
N06AA10	nortriptyline	0.4	0.63	0.43	0.66	0.46	0.67
N06AA12	doxepin	0.45	0.71	0.50	0.77	0.49	0.72
N06AA21	maprotiline	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AB	selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors	39.64	62.27	39.49	60.46	39.77	58.35
N06AB03	fluoxetine	3.72	5.84	3.95	6.05	4.12	6.04
N06AB04	citalopram	13.78	21.65	12.60	19.29	12.03	17.65
N06AB05	paroxetine	2.52	3.96	2.50	3.83	2.45	3.59
N06AB06	sertraline	6.08	9.55	6.46	9.89	7.18	10.53
N06AB08	fluvoxamine	0.21	0.33	0.20	0.31	0.19	0.28
N06AB10	escitalopram	13.34	20.96	13.77	21.08	13.80	20.25
N06AG	monoaminoxidase inhibitors A (MAO-A)	0.44	0.69	0.41	0.63	0.39	0.57
N06AG02	moclobemide	0.44	0.69	0.41	0.63	0.39	0.57
N06AX	other antidepressants	19.81	31.12	21.49	32.90	23.79	34.90
N06AX03	mianserin	0.38	0.60	0.38	0.58	0.40	0.59
N06AX05	trazodone	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.20	0.14	0.21
N06AX11	mirtazapine	7.60	11.94	7.82	11.97	8.35	12.25
N06AX12	bupropion	1.13	1.78	1.39	2.13	1.53	2.24
N06AX14	tianeptine	-	-	-	-	-	-
N06AX16	venlafaxine	8.04	12.63	8.71	13.33	9.69	14.22
N06AX17	milnacipran	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.09
N06AX18	reboxetine	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.06
N06AX21	duloxetine	2.08	3.27	2.46	3.77	2.73	4.01
N06AX22	agomelatine	0.36	0.57	0.49	0.75	0.59	0.87
N06AX26	vortioxetine	-	-	-	-	0.26	0.38

62.27% of all antidepressant use. In 2015, the result was 39.77 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 58.35%.

The most widely used antidepressant in Finland in 2013 was Citalopram (N06AB04) with 13.78 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 21.65% of all antidepressant use, while in 2015 that was escitalopram (N06AB10) with 13.80 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 20.25%.

DISCUSSION

Comparison of use and prices of prescribed

medications for treatment of depression to countries with developed pharmacotherapeutic practice is giving a possibility to review pharmacotherapeutic practice of one country and its dynamics during a certain time frame, which can be important for establishing future pharmacotherapeutic recommendations.

According to the WHO data from 2015, 40 million Europeans are suffering from depression. There was 419,302 cases of depression in Serbia in 2015 which is roughly 5% of the population. The very same year in Norway, there was 227,446 cases of depression which

is 4.7% of the population while Finland had 293,921 cases identified or 5.6% of the population. Depression is one of the leading causes of the disability among the psychiatric diseases in Europe with the annual cost of 115 billion € [12].

The use of antidepressants (N06A) in Serbia in 2015 was 26.13 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day, while in Norway it was 56.48 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day. The use of antidepressants in Finland was 68.16 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day in 2015.

According to the previously given information, the number of patients suffering from depression is much higher in Serbia than in Scandinavian countries, but the use of antidepressants is much lower.

According to Harhai's research, it was shown that barriers in diagnosing and treating depression are mostly due to the following reasons: general practitioners are overloaded with many visits, lack of competence to manage depression, and patient refusal to accept the diagnosis and treatment. To improve the recognition and treatment of depression in primary health care, it is necessary to provide better education programs for general practitioners, more time for work (by decreasing number of visits), and destigmatization, to motivate patients to talk about mental health problems and to accept the recommended treatment [13].

According to Stojancevic et al, the differences in antidepressant utilization between Serbia and Finland are partly consequential to different socioeconomic and health policy factors. The considerably lower utilization of antidepressants in Serbia implies possible underdiagnosing of affective disorders in general practice. Serious consequences may be reduced by early diagnosis, timely, adequate, and effective management of depression [14].

There is relatively small number of patients currently treated on the primary care level for depression in Serbia, meaning that only 39% of the patients who visits psychiatrist contact the primary care physician beforehand. The knowledge of the primary care physicians about the prevention and treatment of mental health disorders are not sufficient and the communication with the secondary health care level is inadequate or it does not exist at all. National budget for mental health is insufficient and the financing is still based on the number of bed days, while the preven-

tion and the promotion of the mental health is inadequately supported. Centers for the mental health protection should be formed in certain territories of the country for mental health of the patients to be protected. Those centers should have teams for helping vulnerable population, especially children, and adolescents through the support system outside of the health care institutions, in schools and boarding schools. There are no protected apartments for these patients and there is no system in place that would guarantee employment of the psychiatric patients, so the circle of unemployment – poverty – depression cannot be stopped [15].

The Nordic countries are all established welfare states, and there has for some time existed a notion of a distinctive Nordic or Scandinavian welfare state; it is often understood in terms of broad, tax-financed public responsibility and legislated, collective, and universalistic solutions that respect employment interest yet aim at welfare and equity goals. Lately, the Nordic countries have performed well in comparative research of health policy in European countries but also regarding health care system in OECD countries. However, while it appears that the case for the existence of a Nordic model is strong there is no consensus of the precise specification of the feature that defines the model [16].

For example, considerable differences seem to exist between the psychiatric services within, as well as between Nordic countries when it comes to history, mental health acts and allocation of resources. Furthermore, some scholars argue that it is not even possible to speak of a common Nordic political approach to public health, since the public health programs in different Nordic countries contain contradictory policies and ideological statements. This is especially evident for depression and sales of antidepressants in different Nordic countries [16].

Several factors such as accessibility of drugs, available treatment alternatives, clinical practice and national guidelines, may influence patterns of prescribing and use of antidepressant drugs in Nordic countries [16].

Further research is therefore needed to scrutinize as to why differences in prevalence of depression and antidepressant sales exist between the Nordic countries, despite the Nordic model. The Nordic countries do for some reason have a high consumption of anti-

depressants compared to OECD despite relatively moderate or low depression prevalence patterns. This is especially important, since the increase in antidepressants consumption has spurred an ongoing debate whether antidepressants are overprescribed (medicalization) or under-prescribed (poor access to treatment) [16].

If we are to compare the use of antidepressants in Serbia, Norway and Finland in 2015, it was determined that the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors is the highest and these results correspond to national guidelines for depression treatment. Sertraline was mostly used medication in Serbia with 8.20 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 31.38%. In Norway and Finland that was escitalopram with 20.44 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 36.19% and 13.80 DDD/1000 inhabitants/day or 20.25%, respectively.

According to Ramsber et al, employing a large body of randomized head-to-head evidence, escitalopram has the highest probability of remission of the investigated antidepressants and is the most effective and cost-effective pharmacological treatment strategy for moderate to severe depression in a primary care setting, when evaluated over a one-year time-horizon [17]. According to the data obtained from the Republic of Serbia Health Insurance Funds, both escitalopram and sertraline are on the A list of medications. According to the documentation from the list of medications that have been funded by the obligated health insurance in 2015, the price of sertraline in bulk per DDD was 11.91 dinars and the price of escitalopram was 11.92 dinars. Copay for both medications was 50.00 dinars [18].

CONCLUSION

The use of antidepressants in Serbia increased in 2015 compared to 2013 and was significantly less in Serbia in 2013, 2014 and 2015 compared to Finland and Norway, pharmacotherapeutically developed countries. Medications used the most in all 3 countries in 2013, 2014 and 2015 were selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors. Sertraline was the most widely used antidepressant in Serbia in 2015, while escitalopram was mostly used antidepressant in Norway and Finland.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by HORIZON2020 MEDLEM project No. 690876, the Project for Scientific and Technological Development of Vojvodina No. 114-451-2072-/2016-02 and by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, Project No 41012.

REFERENCES

1. Depression (quoted on 04Mar2018). Available on: <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/depression/index.shtml>
2. Fekadu et al. Major Depressive Disorder: Pathophysiology and Clinical Management. *Depress Anxiety* 2017, 6:1
3. Lapčević M, Vuković M, Gvozdenović BS, Mijoljević V, Marjanović S. Socioeconomic and therapy factor influence on self-reported fatigue, anxiety and depression in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Revista Brasileira de Reumatologia* 2017; 57(6):545-56
4. Holtzheimer PE, Nemeroff CB (2008) Novel Targets for Antidepressant Therapies. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 10: 465-73.
5. Timotijević I, Stanković Ž, Todorović M, Marković SZ, Kastratović DA. Serotonergic organization of the central nervous system. *Psychiatr Danub*. 2012 Oct;24 Suppl 3:5326-30.
6. Republic of Serbia. Medicines and Medical Devices Agency of Serbia. Consumption of Medicines 2013 (hard copy in Serbian language). Agency: Belgrade, 2014.
7. Republic of Serbia. Medicines and Medical Devices Agency of Serbia. Consumption of Medicines 2014 (hard copy in Serbian language). Agency: Belgrade, 2015.
8. Republic of Serbia. Medicines and Medical Devices Agency of Serbia. Consumption of Medicines 2015 (hard copy in Serbian language). Agency: Belgrade, 2016
9. Norwegian Institute for Public Health. Drug Consumption in Norway 2010-2015. (quoted on 07Mar2018). Available on: <http://www.legemiddelbruk.no/english>
10. Finnish Medicines Agency. Drug Consumption in Finland 2010-2015 (Quoted on 07Mar2018). Available on: <http://www.fimea.fi/laaketieto/kulutustiedot>
11. Perić D, Milijašević D, Tomić N, Knežević A, Bukumirović N, Milijašević B. Use of ACE-Inhibitors in Serbia in 2009 and 2010. *Hospital Pharmacology-International Multidisciplinary Journal*, 2014; 1(3):122-9.

12. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health estimates (quoted on 07Mar2018). Available on: <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD-MER-2017.2-eng.pdf>

13. Barriers in Diagnosing Depression At The Primary Health Care Level in Serbia and Romania (quoted on 07Mar2018). Dostupno na : https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301732565_BARRIERS_IN_DIAGNOSING_DEPRESSION_AT_THE_PRIMARY_HEALTH_CARE_LEVEL_IN_SERBIA_AND_ROMANIA

14. Stojančević at al. Remarkably lower consumption of antidepressants in Serbia in comparison with Finland. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2012; 13(Suppl 1): A5

15. Stanojević V. The Meaning of Depression in Public Health- Possibility of Intervention in Primary Care. *PONS Med J* 2016; 13(1):27-32

16. Vilhelmsson A. Depression and Antidepressants: A Nordic Perspective. *Front Public Health.* 2013; 1: 30.

17. Ramsberg J, Asseburg C, Henriksson M (2012) Effectiveness and Cost-Effectiveness of Antidepressants in Primary Care: A Multiple Treatment Comparison Meta-Analysis and Cost-Effectiveness Model. *PLoS ONE* 7(8): e42003.

18. The list of the medications funded by the obligated health insurance in 2015 (quoted on 07Mar2018). Available on: <http://obvrsac.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/Lista-A-14102014.pdf>

Analiza primene antidepresiva u Republici Srbiji od 2013. do 2015. godine

Boris Ž. Milijašević¹, Andreja L. Vlajankov¹, Milan B. Ubavić², Aleksandar L. Rašković¹, Nikola B. Martić¹, Zdenko S. Tomić¹

¹ Zavod za farmakologiju, toksikologiju i kliničku farmakologiju, Medicinski fakultet Novi Sad, Univerzitet u Novom Sadu, Novi Sad, Srbija

² Zavod za laboratorijsku dijagnostiku, Medlab, Novi Sad, Srbija

KRATAK SADRŽAJ

Uvod: Depresija je hronično mentalno oboljenje koje uzrokuje promene raspoloženja, ponašanja i razmišljanja. Prema podacima Svetske Zdravstvene Organizacije, 350 miliona ljudi na svetu pati od depresije. Ovo objašnjava zbog čega se antidepresivi primenjuju u velikoj meri.

Cilj: Cilj ovog istraživanja je analiza primene antidepresiva u Srbiji, Norveškoj i Finskoj u vremenskom periodu od 2013. do 2015. godine.

Metodologija: Podaci o primeni antidepresiva u Srbiji, Norveškoj i Finskoj tokom 2013., 2014. i 2015. godine dobijeni su iz Agencije za Lekove i Medicinska Sredstva Republike Srbije, oficijalnog sajta Norveškog Instituta za Javno Zdravlje i Finske Agencije za Lekove Fimea.

Rezultati: Veliki broj depresivnih pacijenata i mala primena antidepresiva u Srbiji u poređenju sa Finskom i Norveškom u 2013., 2014. i 2015. godini objašnjava se različitim socioekonomskim statusom i različitim zdravstvenim sistemom u Srbiji u poređenju sa Norveškom i Finskom. Pacijenti u Srbiji su nedovoljno dijagnostikovani i nedovoljno lečeni zbog neuspeha lekara opšte prakse na primarnom nivou zdravstvene zaštite da prepoznaju depresivne pacijente, leče ili upute na lečenje u ustanove sekundarnog nivoa zdravstvene zaštite. Sertralin je prvo-primenjivani lek u Srbiji, a escitalopram u Norveškoj i Finskoj. Escitalopram je najefikasniji i najbolji u pogledu odnosa cene i efikasnosti lečenja depresije na nivou primarne zdravstvene zaštite.

Zaključak: Primena antidepresiva u Srbiji u porastu je u 2015. godini u odnosu na 2013. godinu., ali je bila značajno manja u Srbiji tokom 2013., 2014. i 2015. godine nego u Finskoj i Norveškoj, državama sa dobro razvijenom farmakoterapijskom praksom. Najviše korišćeni lekovi u sve tri države tokom 2013., 2014., i 2015. godine bili su selektivni inhibitori preuzimanja serotonina. Sertralin je bio najviše primenjivan lek u Srbiji tokom 2015. godine, a escitalopram u Norveškoj i Finskoj.

Ključne reči: depresija, antidepresivi, farmakoepidemiologija

Received: March 13, 2018
Accepted: April 04, 2018